## **Letters to the Editor**



## Adding Particulate or Non-Particulate Steroids to the Local Anesthetics When Performing **Parasagittal Interlaminar Epidural Injections**

## To THE EDITOR

We read with great interest a recent study by Ghai et al (1) wherein they followed 56 out of 69 randomized patients for 12 months following parasagittal interlaminar (PIL) injection with local anesthetics (LA) alone or LA with steroid (LS). We agree with author's choice of technique to achieve adequate and consistent ventral epidural spread of injectate. Parasagittal interlaminar epidural steroid injections are underrepresented in the literature and frequently are not differentiated from midline interlaminar epidural steroid injections, by authors performing analyses of efficacy.

We were successful at presenting the advantages of PIL-ESI with regards to ventral spread of contrast (2), clear superiority to midline interlaminar (MIL-ESI) (3), and non-inferiority to transforaminal epidural steroid injections (TF-ESI)(2). In 2008, we used an independent blinded radiologist not affiliated with primary study institution to confirm scoring of ventral epidural spread as observed on the lateral projection fluoroscopic images (2). We also recognize the author's input in favor of utilizing a parasagittal technique in interventional low

back pain management (4,5) in light of great controversy associated with epidural steroid injections and utilization of corticosteroids for interventional management of chronic lumbar radiculopathy.

However, Ghai et al (1), when comparing results of their study with previous studies done by Manchikanti (6-11) (Table 1), failed to properly address a major difference between these studies. Instead of commenting on differences in study patients' clinical and ethnic characteristics, they should have focused their discussion on differences between insoluble (particulate) methylprednisolone and soluble (non-particulate) betamethasone steroids. Manchikanti et al showed that lidocaine alone provides clinically significant pain relief and functional improvement regardless of whether the drug is administered as a caudal, interlaminar, or transforaminal lumbar injection (12,13). After reviewing all relevant studies by Manchikanti et al (Table 1), it is evident that addition of steroids might be superior in some patients with disc herniation as it was shown in this present study and in the most recent review (1). However, in all studies they strictly utilized betamethasone.

Table 1. Study characteristics and outcomes of randomized interlaminar epidural injections using local anesthetic and local anesthetic with steroid.

| Journal;<br>Epidural Approach;   | Total # patients;<br>Volume solution;<br>Type of solutions | Groups of treatment | Time of follow-up for pain relief and functional assessment |                     |                     |                     |                     |
|--|--|---------------------|---|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| Indication   |  |                     | 0 mo  | 3 mo                | 6 mo                | 12 mo               | 24 mo               |
| Pain Physician 2010;<br>13:343-355[6]                                    | Total n=70 patients;<br>6mL 0.5% lidocaine (LA)<br>or      | Group I (n=35): LA  | 8.3±1.0<br>29.8±4.6   | 3.9±1.2<br>15.4±5.2 | 4.3±1.3<br>16.2±5.4 | 3.9±1.3<br>15.2±5.5 |                     |
| Lumbar Interlaminar<br>Lumbar Disc Herniations                           | 5mL 0.5% lidocaine + 1ml<br>betamethasone (LS)             | Group II (n=35): LS | 7.7± 0.9<br>28.9±5.4  | 3.5±1.1<br>13.8±4.6 | 3.4±1.0<br>13.4±4.5 | 3.3±1.2<br>12.8±4.4 |                     |
| Pain Practice 2013;<br>13:547–558 [7]/ Pain Physician 2014; 17:E61-74[8] | Total n=120 patients;<br>6mL 0.5% lidocaine (LA)<br>or     | Group I (n=60): LA  | 8.2±0.8<br>30.3±4.7   | 3.9±1.6<br>15.8±6.3 | 4.1±1.6<br>16.1±6.6 | 4.0±1.6<br>15.9±6.9 | 4.1±1.7<br>16.1±6.8 |
| Lumbar Interlaminar<br>Disc Herniation /<br>Radiculitis                  | 5mL 0.5% lidocaine + 1ml<br>betamethasone (LS)             | Group II (n=60): LS | 8.0±1.0<br>29.6±5.2   | 3.5±1.0<br>14.0±4.2 | 3.5±1.0<br>13.5±4.2 | 3.4±1.2<br>13.0±4.2 | 3.7±1.4<br>13.5±4.8 |

Advantages of this study would be the investigation of the epidural ventral spread that was regrettably only mentioned in one brief paragraph without explaining how this analysis was performed. PIL-ESI is less technically challenging and carries a smaller risk of intravascular steroid injection than a TF-ESI. This would be crucial for the study since the authors used large volumes of injectate (8 mL), which was much higher than in previous Manchikanti studies and which was double the amount of the standard epidural injection volume. A much larger volume would dilute and distribute corticosteroid to above and below of the target site of nociception. There is a possibility that higher volume of injectate can have a better "wash-out" of inflammatory mediators but it would be difficult to claim this conclusion without an appropriately designed randomized prospective study.

Nebojsa Nick Knezevic, MD, PhD Director of Anesthesiology Research Clinical Assistant Professor Department of Anesthesiology Advocate Illinois Masonic Medical Center Chicago, IL 60657 E-mail: nick.knezevic@gmail.com

Alexei Lissounov, MD Clinical Research Coordinator Department of Anesthesiology Advocate Illinois Masonic Medical Center Chicago, IL 60657 E-mail: I\_alexei@hotmail.com

Kenneth D. Candido, M.D.
Chairman and Professor
Department of Anesthesiology
Advocate Illinois Masonic Medical Center
836 W. Wellington Ave. Suite 4815
Chicago, IL 60657
E-mail: kdcandido1@gmail.com

## REFERENCES

- Ghai B, Kumar K, Bansal D, Dhatt SS, Kanukula R, Batra YK. Effectiveness of parasagittal interlaminar epidural local anesthetic with or without steroid in chronic lumbosacral pain: a randomized, double-blind clinical trial. Pain Physician 2015; 18:237-248.
- Candido KD, Raghavendra MS, Chinthagada M, Badiee S, Trepashko DW. A prospective evaluation of iodinated contrast flow patterns with fluoroscopically guided lumbar epidural steroid injections: the lateral parasagittal interlaminar epidural approach versus the transforaminal epidural approach. Anesth Analg 2008; 106:638-644.
- Candido KD, Rana MV, Sauer R, Chupatanakul L, Tharian A, Vasic V, Knezevic NN. Concordant pressure paresthesia during interlaminar lumbar epidural steroid injections correlates with pain relief in patients with unilateral radicular pain. *Pain Physician* 2013; 16:497-511.
- Ghai B, Vadaje KS, Wig J, Dhillon MS. Lateral parasagittal versus midline interlaminar lumbar epidural steroid injection for management of low back pain with lumbosacral radicular pain: a double-blind, randomized study. Anesth Analg 2013; 117:219-227.
- 5. Ghai B, Bansal D, Kay JP, Vadaje KS, Wig

- J. Transforaminal versus parasagittal interlaminar epidural steroid injection in low back pain with radicular pain: a randomized, double-blind, active-control trial: *Pain Physician* 2014; 17:277-290.
- Manchikanti L, Singh V, Falco F, Cash KA, Pampati V. Evaluation of the effectiveness of lumbar interlaminar epidural injections in managing chronic pain of lumbar disc herniation or radiculitis: a randomized, double-blind, controlled trial. Pain Physician 2010; 13:343-355.
- Manchikanti L, Singh V, Cash KA, Pampati V, Falco FJ. The Role of fluoroscopic interlaminar epidural injections in managing chronic pain of lumbar disc herniation or radiculitis: A randomized, double-blind trial. Pain Practice 2013; 13:547–558.
- Manchikanti L, Singh V, Cash KA, Pampati V, Falco FJ. A randomized, double-blind, active-control trial of the effectiveness of lumbar interlaminar epidural injections in disc herniation. *Pain Physician* 2014; 17:E61-74.
- Manchikanti L, Cash KA, McManus CD, Damron KS, Pampati V, Falco FJ. Lumbar interlaminar epidural injections in central spinal stenosis: Preliminary results of a randomized, double-blind, active control trial. Pain Physician 2012; 15:51–63.

- Manchikanti L, Cash KA, McManus CD, Damron KS, Pampati V, Falco FJ. A randomized, double-blind controlled trial of lumbar interlaminar epidural injections in central spinal stenosis: 2-year followup. Pain Physician 2015; 18:79–92.
- Manchikanti L, Cash KA, McManus CD, Pampati V, Benyamin RM. A randomized, double-blind, active-controlled trial of fluoroscopic lumbar interlaminar epidural injections in chronic axial or discogenic low back pain: Results of a 2-year followup. Pain Physician 2013; 16:E491–504.
- 12. Manchikanti L, Singh V, Pampati V, Falco F, Hirsch J: Comparison of the efficacy of caudal, interlaminar, and transforaminal epidural injections in managing lumbar disc herniation: Is one method superior to the other? Korean J Pain 2015; 28:11-21.
- Manchikanti L, Nampiaparampil DE, Manchikanti KN, Falco FJ, Singh V, Benyamin RM, Kaye AD, Sehgal N, Soin A, Simopoulos TT, Bakshi S, Gharibo CG, Gilligan CJ, Hirsch JA. Comparison of the efficacy of saline, local anesthetics, and steroids in epidural and facet joint injections for the management of spinal pain: A systematic review of randomized controlled trials. Surg Neurol Int 2015; 6:S194-235.